


SOCIETY FOR THE STUDY OF AMPHIBIANS AND REPTILES



Publisher of *Journal of Herpetology*, Facsimile Reprints in *Herpetology*, *Herpetological Review*,
Catalogue of American Amphibians and Reptiles, *Herpetological Circulars*,
Contributions to Herpetology, and *Herpetological Conservation*

Officers and Editors for 2011

President

JOSEPH R. MENDELSON III
Zoo Atlanta
Atlanta, GA 30315, USA

President-Elect

ROBERT D. ALDRIDGE
Department of Biology
Saint Louis University
St Louis, MO 63103, USA

Secretary

MARION PREEST
Joint Science Department
The Claremont Colleges
Claremont, CA 91711, USA

Treasurer

KIRSTEN NICHOLSON
Department of Biology
Central Michigan University
Mt Pleasant, MI 48859, USA

Publications Secretary

BRECK BARTHOLOMEW
P.O. Box 58517
Salt Lake City, UT 84158, USA

Immediate Past-President

BRIAN CROTHER
Department of Biological Sciences
Southeastern Louisiana University
Hammond, LA 70402, USA

Directors (Class and Category)

DAVID CUNDALL (2012 R)
Lehigh University, USA
KEVIN de QUEIROZ (2012 R)
Smithsonian Institution, USA
TIFFANY DOAN (2014 R)
Central Connecticut State Univ., USA
PATRICK GREGORY (2012 Non-US)
University of Victoria, CANADA
TRAVIS LADUC (2014 Reg Soc)
University of Texas, USA
ANN PATERSON (2012 R)
Williams Baptist College, USA
JENNIFER PRAMUK (2014 Cons)
Woodland Park Zoo, USA
CAROL SPENCER (2014 R)
University of California, USA

Trustee

GEORGE PISANI
University of Kansas, USA

Journal of Herpetology

ERIN MUTHS, Co-Editor
U.S. Geological Survey
Fort Collins, CO 80526, USA
GAD PERRY, Co-Editor
Texas Tech University
Lubbock, TX 79409, USA

Herpetological Review

ROBERT W. HANSEN, Editor
16333 Deer Path Lane
Clovis, CA 93619, USA

Contributions to Herpetology

KRAIG ADLER, Editor
Cornell University
Ithaca, NY 14853-2702, USA

Facsimile Reprints in Herpetology

AARON BAUER, Editor
Villanova University
Villanova, PA 19085, USA

Catalogue of American Amphibians & Reptiles

ANDREW H. PRICE, Editor
Texas Natural Science Center
Austin, TX 78758, USA

Herpetological Circulars

JOHN J. MORIARTY, Editor
3261 Victoria Street
Shoreview, MN 55126, USA

Herpetological Conservation

JOSEPH C. MITCHELL, Editor
Mitchell Ecol. Res. Service
P.O. Box 5638
Gainesville, FL 32627-5638, USA

Dear Author,

Attached please find a gratis pdf file of your article/note published in *Herpetological Review*. You are receiving this pdf at no charge as a benefit of SSAR membership, and it is for your personal use only (see copyright notice below).

Sincerely,

SSAR Publications Office

Notice warning concerning copyright restrictions: The copyright law of the United States (title 17, United States Code) governs the making of copies or other reproductions of copyrighted material such as PDFs. One of these specific conditions is that the copy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes, or later uses, a PDF, copy, or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement. The Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles (SSAR) holds the copyright to this PDF. SSAR authorizes the author to use this PDF to fill reprint requests for private study, scholarship and research purposes. It is a violation of SSAR's copyright to distribute this PDF via mass emails, or by posting this pdf on any website for download — Except the author's own personal (not business) website / webpage.

Transponder (PIT) tags were inserted into the toads, which were found in their respective holes five days later at 1220 h. Later that day at 2120 h, the toads were found foraging just outside of their holes under the cover of darkness. The hole openings were 2.5 cm wide × 2.0 cm tall and 3.4 cm wide × 3.0 cm tall and were 1.82 m and 1.52 m above the ground, respectively.

These observations were made while conducting research with permission of the USFWS, PRDNER, and private land-owners. We thank them for their continued support.

CARLOS G. PACHECO, Ecological Services-Boquerón Field Office, Carr. 301, Km 5.1 Barrio Corozo, Cabo Rojo, Puerto Rico 00622 (e-mail: Carlos_Pacheco@fws.gov); **DIANE M. BARBER**, Fort Worth Zoo, 1989 Colonial Parkway, Fort Worth, Texas 76110, USA (e-mail: DBarber@fortworthzoo.org).

PHYLLOMEDUSA CAMBA. DEFENSIVE BEHAVIOR. *Phyllomedusa camba* is a species in the *P. tarsi* group distributed in Bolivia, Peru, and Brazil in the states of Amazonas, Acre, Mato

Grosso, and Rondonia (Frost 2011. Amph. Species of the World; Rodrigues et al. 2011. Checklist [2]:397–399). On 03 November 2011, we observed the defensive behavior of an adult male *P. camba* at the Terra Indígena do Zoró, Mato Grosso, Brazil (10.31780°S, 60.51561°W, SAD69; elev. 179 m). When disturbed, the individual began secreting a milky venom from the dorsal glands (Fig. 1A), raised the body (Fig. 1B), and began making lateral movements as if to attack the intruder (Fig. 1C).

UÉCSON SUENDEL COSTA DE OLIVEIRA, Universidade Federal de Rondônia, Coleção de Referência em Vertebrados Terrestres, Herpetologia (CRH-RO), Br 364 km 9.5, Cep – 76800-000, Porto Velho, Rondônia, Brazil (e-mail: uecson@gmail.com); **ISRAEL CORREA DO VALE JUNIOR**, (e-mail: israel_vale@yahoo.com.br) and **FRANCISCO JOSE CARVALHO** (e-mail: franciscojose_carvalho@hotmail.com), Kanindé – Associação de Defesa Etnoambiental, Cep - 76800-000, Porto Velho, Rondônia, Brazil.

RANA DRAYTONII (California Red-legged Frog). CANNIBALISM. *Rana draytonii* has been the subject of numerous research reports and notes documenting predation. Specifically, several predators have been reported for *R. draytonii*, including Great Blue Heron (*Ardea herodias*) (Fellers and Wood 2004. Herpetol. Rev. 35:163), Loggerhead Shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*) (Alvarez 2005. Ecol. Restor. 23:66–67), American Bullfrog (*Lithobates catesbeianus*) (Jennings and Cook 2001. Herpetol. Rev. 33:182–183), and several others (US Fish and Wildlife Service 2002. Recovery Plan for the California red-legged frog [*Rana aurora draytonii*]. Portland, Oregon). Herein I report on mortality from the cannibalistic behavior of two life stages of this federally threatened species.

While monitoring a stock pond in eastern Contra Costa Co., California, USA (37.81708°N, 121.78768°W; elev. 601 m), I observed a larval *R. draytonii* appearing to feed on an object floating at the surface. Larvae of *R. draytonii* are typically observed feeding within vegetation, likely filter-feeding on algae, protozoans, and phytoplankton from the surfaces and substrate within the pond (Stebbins and Cohen 1995. A Natural History of Amphibians. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey. 316 pp.). In this instance, upon close inspection with binoculars, I was able to determine that the larva engaged in feeding was *R. draytonii* and that the object on which it fed was a second *R. draytonii* larva. It appeared that the larva being consumed was still alive, although it moved very little. I observed that the pre-dating larva appeared to feed by scraping the surface of its *R. draytonii* prey. This behavior continued for 12 minutes during my observations.

During the same day, and at the same site, I observed approximately 245 post-metamorphic *R. draytonii*. While conducting individual counts I noted two distinct size cohorts. This is a typical observation at stock ponds in this general area, and likely reflects the metamorphosis of current-year larvae and larger *R. draytonii* metamorphosing after overwintering as larvae (Fellers et al. 2001. Herpetol. Rev. 32:156–157). On 26 occasions I observed the larger-size cohort (approx. 50.8 mm), attempting to feed upon the smaller size cohort (approx. 25.4 mm) (Fig. 2). Feeding attempts typically started with the larger animal orienting toward the smaller. The larger frog remained motionless until the small frog moved, at which time the larger frog leapt directly at and onto the smaller with mouth agape. In 25 of the 26 observations of this activity, the smaller frog escaped. On one attempt, the larger frog was able to grasp and ultimately consume the smaller.

These observations suggest that *R. draytonii* of two life stages number among the seasonally abundant resources utilized by




FIG. 1. *Phyllomedusa camba* from Terra Indígena do Zoró, Mato Grosso, Brazil showing defensive behavior. A) Secreting milky toxins from the dorsal glands; B) raising the body; C) defensive movements towards the attacker.



FIG. 1. A larval *Rana draytonii* cannibalizing a conspecific at the surface of a stock pond.



FIG. 2. Two size cohorts (frog on right is approx. 25 mm; frog on left is approx. 50 mm) of *Rana draytonii*, with the larger cannibalizing the smaller shortly after the photo was taken.

both pre- and post-metamorphic *R. draytonii* and are taken by cannibalistic feeding. This cannibalism likely is opportunistic and provides a seasonally abundant source of protein for larvae and post-metamorphic frogs.

These observations contribute to the greater understanding of the natural history and population dynamics within occupied breeding habitat for *R. draytonii*. Cannibalism witnessed in this species is likely common among many anurans, but may confound attempts to increase populations of this rare species.

JEFF A. ALVAREZ, The Wildlife Project, PO Box 579805, Modesto, California 95357, USA; e-mail: jeff@thewildlifeproject.com.

RANA DRAYTONII (California Red-legged Frog). ASSOCIATION WITH BEAVER. A number of new observations are being reported on microhabitat use by California Red-legged Frogs. Among these, Cook (1997. MS thesis, Sonoma State Univ., Rohnert Park, California. 23 pp.) reported on the use of microhabitat in a freshwater marsh by this species; Alvarez (2004. Herpetol. Rev. 35:85–86) documented the use of desiccation cracks in the bottoms of dry ponds by *R. draytonii* seeking shelter from extreme climatic conditions, and Alvarez et al. (*in press*. Amphibian Conservation and Biology) detailed the microhabitat use by ovipositing *R. draytonii* at several aquatic breeding habitats. Nonetheless, many natural history details related to this species remain unknown or unreported, and land managers continue to use scant

information to make management decisions in habitat occupied by *R. draytonii*. This potentially creates conditions under which this federally listed species may be harmed or killed during land management activities. Here we report the use of dams and burrows (bank-lodges) constructed by beaver (*Castor canadensis*) as refugia for the *R. draytonii*.

In Spring 2000, it was discovered that beaver naturally colonized the upper portion of Kellogg Creek, downstream from Los Vaqueros Reservoir in Contra Costa Co., California, USA. This colonization was considered potentially damaging to mitigation developed for the construction of the reservoir, and catalyzed the design and implementation of a program to indirectly control the beavers through the removal of at least 24 beaver dams.

Two monitoring biologists were posted on-site during each dam removal to document any “take” of *R. draytonii*. Dams were removed with the aid of a backhoe equipped with a thumb. Twigs, branches, and mud were grasped and pulled from the creek bed, and material was placed on the upper bank. Biologists maintained positions downstream of the dam and collected *R. draytonii* that were in harm’s way from debris or inundation (Fig. 1). Collected frogs were released unharmed within the creek channel after each dam was removed.

During removal of the 24 beaver dams, 60 adult and subadult *R. draytonii* were collected and subsequently returned to the creek. Four *R. draytonii* larvae were also detected when a large portion of one beaver dam was removed and stranded the tadpoles in the previously inundated areas.

Over subsequent months, post-removal surveys were conducted along Kellogg Creek at various times to determine if beavers had restored their dams and if *R. draytonii* and other species were present. On one occasion, *R. draytonii* were observed utilizing a previously inundated burrow of a bank-lodge dug into the bank of Kellogg Creek. This burrow afforded refuge not only to the beavers and the *R. draytonii*, but also to Western Pond Turtles (*Actinemys marmorata*), as observed during previous management actions (Alvarez 2006. Herpetol. Rev. 37:339).

It is clear that the infrastructure—both dams and burrows associated with bank-lodges—resulting from beaver activity provides refuge microhabitat for *R. draytonii*. Beaver-dammed water bodies also provide breeding habitat for *R. draytonii* adults and rearing habitat for tadpoles. These sites should be treated as critical to the survival of local populations of this species;



FIG. 1. Two adult *Rana draytonii* well-hidden within a beaver dam that was being removed along Kellogg Creek, Contra Costa Co., California.

removal of beavers or their dams within the range of *R. draytonii*, although potentially beneficial under certain circumstances, is likely to temporarily or permanently affect habitat suitability for this federally threatened species. Such removals should be avoided to the greatest extent possible.

Considering that beavers are native to the Central Valley of California and some streamcourses in surrounding foothills (Grinnell et al. 1937. *Fur-bearing Mammals of California: Their Natural History, Systematics, and Relations to Man*. Univ. of California Press, Berkeley. 777 pp.), beavers and *R. draytonii* likely co-evolved over much of the range of both species. Careful consideration should be made before controlling beavers within the range of this rare anuran species.

JEFF A. ALVAREZ, The Wildlife Project, PO Box 579805, Modesto, California 95357, USA (e-mail: jeff@thewildlifeproject.com); **MARY A. SHEA**, Contra Costa Water District, 100 Walnut Blvd. Brentwood, California 94513, USA (e-mail: MShea@CCWater.com); **SARAH M. FOSTER**, The Wildlife Project, PO Box 579805, Modesto, California 95357, USA (e-mail: aspetree@yahoo.com).

RANA LUTEIVENTRIS (Columbia Spotted Frog). MAXIMUM SIZE. Similar to other species in the *Rana boylei* group (Hillis and Wilcox 2005. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 34:299–314), *Rana luteiventris* is size-dimorphic in favor of females (Davis and Verrell 2005. *Can. J. Zool.* 83:702–711; Reaser 2000. *Can. J. Zool.* 1158–1167; Turner 1960. *Ecol. Monogr.* 30:251–278), so females reach the body-size maximum. Bull (2005. *Ecology of the Columbia Spotted Frog in Northeastern Oregon*. USDA, Forest Service, PNW-GTR-640. 45 pp.) reported the maximum body size for *R. luteiventris*, a 100 mm SVL female. Here, we augment that maximum.

While examining western North American ranid frogs for misidentifications at the Charles R. Conner Museum (CRCM), Washington State University (WSU), Pullman, Washington, we noted an unusually large female *R. luteiventris* (CRCM 42-34; Fig. 1). Conveniently preserved flat, this female measured 127 mm



FIG. 1. Large female *Rana luteiventris* (CRCM 42-34) collected at Pullman, Washington, USA on 26 March 1942.

SVL. The specimen's tags and the CRCM catalog give the collection locality as simply Pullman, Whitman County, Washington (~46°73'N, 117°17'W, WGS 84; elev. ~750 m). However, the catalog also reveals that Roy D. Shenefelt, curator of the entomology collection at WSU (then Washington State College) around that time, collected this animal on 26 March 1942. No further details are available for this specimen, but the catalog provides locality modifiers for the two other vertebrates collected by Shenefelt, so the Pullman locality likely means Pullman proper rather than somewhere in the vicinity.

Three aspects of this observation merit comment. Recent phylogenetic work on *R. luteiventris* has revealed deep genetic subdivisions that may warrant taxonomic recognition (Funk et al. 2008. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 49:198–210). Based on locality, our large *R. luteiventris* falls within the area of what Funk et al. (2008, *op. cit.*) label as the Northern clade, which extends from southeastern Oregon to the Yukon Territory, Canada. Maximum sizes reported for *R. luteiventris* attributable to the remaining two clades of Funk et al. (2008, *op. cit.*) differ substantially (79 mm SVL for the Utah clade: Morris and Tanner 1969. *Great Basin Nat.* 29:45–81; and 90 mm SVL for the Great Basin clade: Reaser 2000, *op. cit.*), but whether variation among clades reflects any phylogenetic signal is unclear. Second, among native ranid frogs in western North America, the size of this female is exceeded only by *R. draytonii*, for which the maximum is 138 mm SVL (Hayes and Miyamoto 1984. *Copeia* 1984:1018–1022). Lastly, given that shrinkage is a typical artifact of long-term preservation (Deichmann et al. 2009. *Phyllomedusa* 8:51–58), our measurement of this 70-year-old specimen likely underestimates its size in life.

Richard Zack generously provided information on R. Shenefelt. A USFWS Competitive State Wildlife Grant (CFDA# 15.634) supported the work of MPH.

MARC P. HAYES, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Habitat Program, 600 Capitol Way North, Olympia, Washington 98501-1091, USA (e-mail: hayesmph@dfw.wa); **KELLY M. CASSIDY**, Charles R. Conner Museum, School of Biological Sciences, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington 99164-4236, USA (e-mail: connermuseum@wsu.edu).

RANA SYLVATICA (Wood Frog). LEUCISM. On 2 June 2012 a single leucistic *Rana sylvatica* was captured by Campbell Schneider in a pond in Vanderhoof, British Columbia, Canada (54.026022°N, 124.049758°W). Luce and Moriarty (1999. *Herpetol. Rev.* 30:94)



FIG. 1. Leucistic *Rana sylvatica*, British Columbia, Canada.